

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	T	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		21778.03800	1033
09/590,641	06/08/2000	Masahide Maruyama	21778.03800	100-
7590 03/18/2003  Adam H Tachner  Crosby Heafet Roach & May ' PO Box 7936			EXAMINER	
			CONE, DARIUS N	
San Francisco,	CA 94120-7936		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2854	
			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/590,641	MARUYAMA, MASAHIDE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner /	Art Unit	
	Darius N. Cone	2854	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep y within the statutory minimum of thirty vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI , cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 C	October 2002 .		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed in appending with the proofice under			;
closed in accordance with the practice under a <b>Disposition of Claims</b>	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9, 11, 13, 14 and 16-30</u> is/are pend	ling in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are withdrawn t	from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11, 13, 14 and 16-20</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-30</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•	approved by the Examiner.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in App	olication No	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified of the copies of the prior application.</li> </ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application	n).
a) The translation of the foreign language products 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	· •		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  prmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
		<u> </u>	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 2854

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. The Restriction requirement of paper # 7 is hereby repeated and made final.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oonishi et al. (US pat # 5,281,991) in view of lima (US pat # 5,393,149).

With respect to claim 21, Oonishi et al. teach a detection portion disposed on a paper spool 6 around which printing paper 5 is wound. Oonishi et al. also teach a rotation detection device 7, configured to detect rotation of the paper spool for detecting the remaining amount of rolled paper 5 around the spool. While Oonishi et al. teach a detection portion and device, there is no teaching of the detection portion being a bar code and the rotation detection device being an optical sensor configured to read the bar code. Iima teaches a detection portion consisting of marks 22 disposed on a paper spool around which a printing paper or ink ribbon18 is wound, a rotation detection device 34 configured to detect rotation of the paper spool based on periodic detection of the detection portion, where the detection portion is a bar code or mark 22 and rotation

Art Unit: 2854

detection device is an optical sensor 32 configured to read the bar code or mark 22. It would be obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art to modify Oonishi et al. by providing the bar codes in the form of optical coded patterns and the optical sensor to read the bar codes as taught by lima to discriminate between various pieces of different information pertaining to the ribbon encoded in the bars. Iima also teach that the bar code structure occupies a limited area of the surface of the cartridge, shaft or spool, which allows for a reduction in space.

With respect to claim 22, lima teaches the marks containing discrimination information about the ink ribbon 18 (see col. 5, lines 20-24). It would be obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art to provide discrimination information in the marks to provide suitable information about the sheet quality, printing mode and the like to avoid operational error.

With respect to claim 23, lima teaches a control device configured to calculate the remaining amount of ink ribbon 18 and mark identification unit 36 determines if the ink ribbon 18 is low (see col. 4, lines 15-26). It would be obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art to provide detection of the remaining amount of not used in the printing operation to indicate when additional paper is necessary.

With respect to claim 24, lima teaches rotation sensor 34 activated by the control device 38 if rotation detection does not detect any rotations (see col. 5, lines 40-59). It would be obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art to provide a sensor for determining rotation of the spool to provide other information relevant to the user, such as the quality or type of sheet to be printed upon.

Application/Control Number: 09/590,641 Page 4

Art Unit: 2854

4. Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oshino et al. (US pat # 5,517,915) in view of Prker (US pat # 5,394,225) and Spurr et al. (US pat # 6,099,178)

With respect to claim 25, Oshino et al. teach a roll holder 4, comprising a roll presser plate 3 configured to engage the roll holder 4 (Figs 21 and 22). While Oshino et al. teach of a roll presser plate 3 for engaging roll holder 4, there is no teaching of a detection sensor configured to detect a portion on an interior portion of a supply spool. Prker teaches optical switching which may be effected on the inside of the donor roll structure 90 by illumination from light source 114 and photoconductive ring 96 (see col. 8, lines 4-5). It would be obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art to modify Oshino et al. to include the optical switching sensor of Prker, which allows for minimizing the wear and tear on the embedded electrodes which makes contact with slip rings at either end of the donor roll for optical switching and also as an alternative arrangement.

With respect to claim 26, Oshino et al. and Prker teaches all that is claimed as discussed in the above rejection of claim 25 except for a thru-hole aligned with an optical path of the detection sensor. Spurr et al. teach supply spool 120 comprised of a generally cylindrical shaft containing a thru-hole where transceiver unit 330 is disposed in housing 30 located 2 centimeters away from shaft 310 (see col. 7, lines 14-27). It would be obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art to be positioned remotely enough where it does not contact the spool and continue to obtain an accurate signal.

Art Unit: 2854

Claims 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Oshino, Parker and Spurr, and further in view of lima (US pat # 5,393,149).

With respect to claim 27, Oshino, Parker and Spurr teach of a detection sensor to sense the type of media on a supply roll. While Oshino, Parker and Spurr teach of a detection sensor, there is no teaching of the detection sensor being a barcode. Iima teaches where detection portion is a bar code or mark 22 and rotation detection device is an optical sensor 32 configured to read the bar code or mark 22 (see col. 2, lines 10-19; col. 3, lines 43-56). It would be obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art employ the marks or bar code in the modified spool of Oshino, Parker and Spurr to provide a simple information bearing arrangement.

With respect to claim 28, While Oshino, Parker and Spurr teach a paper supply spool, there is no teaching of a control device coupled to the bar code scanner. Iima teaches a control device 38 coupled to the bar code scanner or mark identification unit 36 configured to process discriminating ribbon data (col. 2, lines 10-20; col. 5, lines 25-40). It would be obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art to provide the control device of lima for identifying information about the media in response to receipt of the detection signals obtained from the mark identification unit 36.

With respect to claim 29, While Oshino, Parker and Spurr do not teach of a rotation alarm activated by a control device, lima teaches rotation sensor 34 activated by the control device 38 if rotation detection does not detect any rotations. It would be obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art to further modify Oshino et al. by using the

Art Unit: 2854

control device activating an alarm as taught by lima to indicate an occurrence of an operational error or emergent trouble, should it occur (see col. 5, lines 25-59).

With respect to claim 30, While Oshino, Parker and Spurr do not teach of a control device configured to calculate an amount of printable material and activate a low material alarm by the control means, lima teaches detection of the remaining amount of a portion of the ink ribbon 18 wound around spool 14 by rotation sensor 34. It would be obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art to modify Oshino, Parker and Spurr by including a sensor to determine when the interest is low in order to replenish or employ the remaining unused portion of the interest and Spurr by

AHH zliolos

### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2854

Page 7

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darius N. Cone whose telephone number is (703) 308-1061. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on (703) 305-6619. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-0725 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

DNC

March 10, 2003

ANDREW H. HIRSHFELD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800